

12-30-2025

Algorithmic Advantage: How AI Is Reshaping NCAA Recruiting Strategy and Athlete Mobility

Lawrence W. Judge

Florida Gulf Coast University, ljudge@fgcu.edu

Marshall Magnusen

*Baylor University, Marshall_Magnusen@baylor.edu*Follow this and additional works at: <https://trace.tennessee.edu/jasm>Part of the [Education Commons](#), [Sports Management Commons](#), and the [Sports Studies Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Judge, Lawrence W. and Magnusen, Marshall (2025) "Algorithmic Advantage: How AI Is Reshaping NCAA Recruiting Strategy and Athlete Mobility," *Journal of Applied Sport Management*. Vol. 17 : Iss. 4.

<https://doi.org/10.7290/jasm17GdNZ>

Available at: <https://trace.tennessee.edu/jasm/vol17/iss4/4>

This article is brought to you freely and openly by Volunteer, Open-access, Library-hosted Journals (VOL Journals), published in partnership with The University of Tennessee (UT) University Libraries. This article has been accepted for inclusion in *Journal of Applied Sport Management* by an authorized editor. For more information, please visit <https://trace.tennessee.edu/jasm>.

Algorithmic Advantage: How AI Is Reshaping NCAA Recruiting Strategy and Athlete Mobility

Lawrence Judge

Florida Gulf Coast University

Marshall J. Magnusen

Baylor University

Please send correspondence to: Lawrence Judge, ljudge@fgcu.edu.

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming NCAA sports recruiting by offering scalable, data-rich tools that enhance how talent is identified, evaluated, and strategically deployed. Amid the complexities introduced by the transfer portal, name, image, and likeness (NIL) regulations, and rising recruitment costs, AI enables collegiate programs to make faster, more accurate, and financially informed recruiting decisions. Drawing on emerging technologies and institutional practices, the paper highlights operational benefits such as efficiency gains, enhanced evaluation accuracy, and expanded reach to under-resourced or geographically remote athletes. At the same time, it critically explores challenges around algorithmic bias, ethical use, and the displacement of human judgment. The article concludes by identifying future imperatives for athletic departments, including staff upskilling, ethical oversight, and the integration of AI as a strategic partner, rather than a substitute, in building competitive and inclusive collegiate sports programs.

Key words: Artificial Intelligence, NCAA recruiting, college athletics, talent identification, sport technology, NIL, Transfer Portal, virtual scouting, data analytics, sport management

Introduction

Recent roster reconstruction in Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) programs, such as Indiana University football's reliance on Transfer Portal-driven recruiting, signals a fundamental shift in how competitive success is pursued. The arms race in National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) recruiting is no longer driven solely by facilities, prestige, reputation, or scholarships; it is now fueled by transactional athlete mobility and escalating financial pressures. Name, image, and likeness (NIL) policies and the NCAA Transfer Portal have produced a volatile recruiting environment in which athletic departments face rising costs and performance is increasingly evaluated through a return-on-investment (ROI) lens (Petersen & Judge, 2021). In this context, artificial intelligence (AI) is no longer optional; it has become a structural necessity for competitive survival.

Athlete recruitment has always been the cornerstone of college athletics, driving competitive success and institutional branding (Magnusen et al., 2014; Popp et al., 2011). Today, however, recruiting is a multimillion-dollar enterprise intertwined with broader athletic and academic reputations. Recent regulatory shifts, most notably the NCAA Transfer Portal (NCAA, 2019) and the NCAA interim name, image, and likeness (NIL) policy (NCAA, 2021), have upended the recruiting landscape, rendering many traditional models obsolete (Bunner, 2021; Petersen & Judge, 2021; Reese, 2023). In response, several universities have fundamentally restructured their recruiting strategies, increasingly prioritizing transfer-portal acquisitions to rapidly address roster gaps, rebalance positional depth, and accelerate competitive timelines. This illustrates how roster construction has become a dynamic, year-round strategic function rather than a linear high school-to-college pipeline.

Decades of recruiting scholarship emphasized relational influence, where coaches cultivated ties with athletes and their agents to leverage scholarships, academics, and campus culture (Magnusen et al., 2014). While these dynamics persist, the NIL era has rendered recruiting at the highest levels of football and basketball predominantly transactional, with financial incentives eclipsing other factors. One prominent example of this shift is Texas Tech. The school infused over \$25 million of NIL money into the football program, which helped build a team that would eventually win the 2025 Big 12 football championship and earn a number four seed in the College Football Playoff (Associated Press, 2025). Baylor men's basketball further illustrates this volatility: the program concluded the 2024–2025 season with a roster composed entirely of new players, compelling Coach Scott Drew to reconstruct the roster entirely through transfer-based recruitment. This represents an emblematic example of the instability now defining the contemporary NCAA recruiting marketplace.

In the past, numerous levers could be pulled to attract and retain athletes, and coaches rarely feared mass transfers. As the well-known phrase popularized by Bob Dylan suggests, “the times they are a-changin’.” Recruiting is in upheaval, and coaches must adapt or risk falling behind. Social exchanges have become professionalized, and coaching staffs are evolving to include general managers (GMs) tasked with roster management, scouting, and recruiting functions once reserved for head coaches.

In this new era of professionalized college athletics, fueled by NIL and the transfer portal, athletic programs must embrace data-driven, technologically enhanced approaches to recruiting. The financial stakes are simply too high to rely solely on traditional intuition or personal relationships. Accordingly, the

purpose of this paper is to explore the evolving role of AI in NCAA sports recruiting by analyzing its integration into recruitment strategies, evaluating its impact on decision-making, and identifying both benefits and limitations. This is not a traditional literature review; rather, it is a “think piece” that doubles as a critical call to action for coaches, administrators, and athletic departments to rethink recruiting strategies that align with the financial realities and performance demands of contemporary college sports.

Artificial Intelligence and Athlete Recruitment

AI’s initial adoption in sports centered on injury prevention and performance optimization (Benson et al., 2020). Today, its applications have rapidly expanded into advanced data modeling, video analytics, and predictive scouting, tools that have become essential in both collegiate and professional sport environments (Bunker & Thabtah, 2019; Lewis, 2003). Inspired by sabermetrics and other data-driven approaches, AI systems now empower recruiters with tools to identify talent with unprecedented accuracy, efficiency, and objectivity (Gudmundsson & Horton, 2017). Indeed, in an era where recruiting mistakes can cost programs millions of dollars in scholarships, NIL guarantees, and lost competitive standing, AI tools provide a critical edge. These technologies allow athletic departments to scale recruiting operations, manage risk, and improve decision-making. Core applications include performance data analysis, predictive analytics for talent identification, and virtual scouting.

Performance Data Analysis

AI-powered analytics have transformed how recruiters evaluate athletes’ physical outputs and technical skills. Traditional scouting methods based on subjective observation are increasingly supplanted by AI systems capable of granular biomechanical, movement efficiency, and performance metric analyses. Wearable technologies paired with AI track movement, fatigue, and biometric data, providing insights into readiness and injury risk (Benson et al., 2020). Computer vision tools, such as those integrated into Synergy Sports, break down game footage, categorizing every movement, tactic, and decision to yield data that surpass human observation (Rangasamy et al., 2020).

Machine learning models trained on longitudinal biometric, performance, and developmental data have become central to predictive recruiting. These systems detect latent patterns in physiological development, positional fit, and stress adaptability, producing more accurate forecasts of both athletic performance and system compatibility. Platforms such as Lumin Sports and Zelus Analytics illustrate emerging approaches to this capability by integrating GPS, heart rate, and workload data to optimize performance and manage injury risk (Lemire, 2022). Collectively, these technologies highlight AI’s expanding role in reshaping talent identification and evaluation, giving programs that adopt them a strategic edge by generating earlier and more precise insights than rival recruiters.

Virtual Scouting and Remote Assessments

AI also drives the growth of virtual scouting platforms, particularly in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, which disrupted traditional in-person evaluations. Platforms such as aiScout and Tonsser use

AI to evaluate skills from remote drills and training videos, providing structured assessments that coaches can access globally. These tools leverage computer vision and pose estimation to assess metrics like vertical leap, sprint speed, and throwing mechanics, creating scalable, objective alternatives to on-site combines and camps (Hautala et al., 2022).

Recruiting AI's integration into collegiate recruiting offers transformative benefits that extend beyond efficiency. In today's financially pressured environment, AI systems help optimize recruiting investments by improving decision-making accuracy and streamlining operational workflows. With NIL and transfer portal policies dramatically increasing the costs of recruiting errors, athletic departments can no longer afford subjective, intuition-driven decisions alone. AI must be effectively leveraged to achieve recruiting and athletic department success.

AI-powered platforms automate performance data collection and synthesis, from in-game statistics to biometric data via sensors and GPS monitoring (Benson et al., 2020; Kim, 2025). These technologies enable high-volume evaluations, allowing coaching staff to focus on development and strategy rather than administrative tasks. As recruiting now operates in a fluid, transactional marketplace, these efficiencies provide crucial competitive advantages. Table 1 summarizes the key functions, strategic benefits, and risks associated with AI integration into NCAA recruiting workflows.

Table 1

Key Benefits, Risks and Limitations of AI in Recruiting

AI Application	Primary Function	Key Benefits	Risks / Limitations
Performance Data Analysis	Tracks and evaluates athlete physical/biometric metrics	Objective workload tracking, injury risk prediction	Overemphasis on measurable outputs; context may be overlooked
Predictive Analytics	Forecasts future performance and system fit	Informs long-term recruiting investment; reduces transfer risk	Bias from historical data; interpretability of algorithms
Automated Highlight Creation	Auto-generates recruitment media from raw footage	Reduces cost/time; improves equity for under-resourced athletes	May miss context-specific nuance or athlete intangibles
Virtual Scouting Platforms	Remote assessment of skills and physical traits	Expands access; allows global reach and asynchronous evaluation	Limited by camera angles, drill standardization, and bandwidth
Psychological/NLP Tools	Assesses communication patterns, mental readiness	Adds depth to athlete profiles (e.g., leadership, focus)	Ethical concerns over consent and misinterpretation of signals
Recruitment Workflow Automation	Streamlines admin tasks (scheduling, contact, compliance)	Time-saving; reduces human error; improves NCAA rule compliance	Risk of depersonalized communication or over-automation

Enhanced Decision-Making Accuracy

Beyond improving efficiency, AI fundamentally elevates the accuracy of recruiting decisions by shifting evaluations from subjective judgments to multidimensional, evidence-based profiles. Modern AI platforms integrate traditional performance statistics with advanced biometric and psychometric indicators, such as neuromuscular fatigue patterns, individualized injury-risk assessments, and psychological readiness inferred through natural language processing (Lu et al., 2021). By triangulating these data streams, AI can reduce human bias and enhance alignment with strategic program objectives, and ensure that recruiting decisions not only identify athletic talent but also captures the broader dimensions of institutional culture and fit. For coaches, this means actionable insights can be translated into real-time recruiting and training strategies. For example, targeting athletes whose profiles suggest both immediate readiness and long-term developmental potential, thereby optimizing roster balance and program sustainability. Recent program trajectories, such as Indiana University's strategic rise following targeted use of the NCAA Transfer Portal, illustrate how data-informed evaluations, emphasizing readiness, positional need, and cultural fit, can translate multidimensional athlete profiles into measurable competitive gains.

In today's collegiate landscape, where Division I and II programs guarantee four-year scholarships, recruiting errors carry significant financial and roster consequences (Liaison International, 2025; Petersen & Judge, 2021). A single misstep can cost hundreds of thousands in tuition, housing, and services, with little flexibility for correction. Just as Billy Beane's sabermetrics transformed professional baseball (Lewis, 2003), AI is redefining collegiate recruiting by aligning athlete profiles with tactical systems, physiological demands, and cultural fit. These platforms enhance decision accuracy, reduce transfer risk, and maximize the return on scholarship investments (Liaison International, 2025; Petersen & Judge, 2021). However, their effectiveness relies on data quality and ethical safeguards, and concerns persist around algorithmic bias, data privacy, and the opacity of AI decision-making (Kim, 2025). Conversely, successful recruiting can deliver transformative benefits. Indeed, the "Caitlin Clark Effect" illustrates how a single athlete can elevate an entire program, boosting attendance, media visibility, and revenue (Judge & Petersen, 2025). Ultimately, recruiting accuracy can impose enduring costs or yield extraordinary institutional returns.

Conclusion

AI is no longer a peripheral tool in collegiate athletics; it is a competitive necessity. As programs confront the financial, reputational, and operational complexities introduced by NIL policies, the NCAA Transfer Portal, and escalating recruitment costs, AI offers a pathway to more accurate, data-informed, and defensible decisions. Taken together, the convergence of financial risk, accelerated decision cycles, and public accountability in modern collegiate recruiting renders intuition-only approaches insufficient, positioning AI-enabled decision systems as governance mechanisms for managing uncertainty rather than merely performance-enhancement tools. By integrating predictive analytics, performance monitoring, and virtual scouting, athletic departments can mitigate costly recruiting errors, optimize scholarship investments, and reduce roster instability. Yet, technology alone is insufficient. The effectiveness of AI depends on high-quality data, transparent algorithms, and strong ethical safeguards to address concerns over bias, privacy, and fairness. Equally vital is the human element and how AI is leveraged, given that coaches and

administrators provide critical contextual judgment, relational insight, and cultural awareness that no current algorithm can replicate. The stakes are clear: recruiting miscalculations can drain resources and erode competitiveness, while successful decisions, exemplified by the “Caitlin Clark Effect,” can transform programs and institutions. The future of recruiting lies in hybrid models where AI augments, rather than replaces, human expertise. Programs that embrace this partnership will not only secure a competitive edge but also set the standard for sustainable, equitable, and mission-driven excellence in collegiate athletics.

References

- Associated Press. (2025, December 3). Big money payoff for No. 5 Texas Tech, playing for 1st Big 12 title and playoff bound. Fox Sports. <https://www.foxsports.com/articles/cfb/big-money-payoff-for-no-5-texas-tech-playing-for-1st-big-12-title-and-playoff-bound>
- Benson, L. C., Räisänen, A. M., Volkova, V. G., Pasanen, K., & Emery, C. A. (2020). Workload a-WEAR-ness: Monitoring workload in team sports with wearable technology: A scoping review. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 50(10), 549–563. <https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2020.9753>
- Bunker, R. P., & Thabtah, F. (2019). A machine learning framework for sport result prediction. *Applied Computing and Informatics*, 15(1), 27–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aci.2017.09.005>
- Bunner, B. P. (2021). NIL Bills—An examination of the implications of compensating college athletes under name, image, and likeness legislation. *Pittsburgh Tax Review*, 18(2), 355–380. <https://doi.org/10.5195/taxreview.2021.136>
- Gudmundsson, J., & Horton, M. (2017). Spatio-temporal analysis of team sports. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 50(2), Article 22. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3054132>
- Hautala, A., Nyman, J., Ikkala, E., & Mäkelä, V. (2022). Pose estimation in human motion analysis: A review. *Sensors*, 22(18), 7009. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s22187009>
- Judge, L. W., & Petersen, J. (2025). The Caitlin Clark Effect: Evidence of Athlete-Driven Market Disruption in Women’s Collegiate Basketball. *Journal of Applied Sport Management*, 17(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.7290/jasm172pja>
- Kim, J. H. (2025). Ethical implications of artificial intelligence in sport: A systematic scoping review. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*, 14(4), 101047. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2025.101047>
- Lemire, J. (2022, October 4). Lumin Sports launches in U.S. market, signs five NCAA colleges. *Sports Business Journal*. <https://www.sportsbusinessjournal.com/Daily/Issues/2022/10/04/Technology/lumin.aspx>
- Lewis, M. (2003). *Moneyball: The art of winning an unfair game*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- Liaison International. (2025, April 8). The power of predictive analytics in higher education: Boosting yield and retention with Othot. <https://www.liaisonedu.com/resources/blog/the-power-of-predictive-analytics-in-higher-education-boosting-yield-and-retention-with-othot/>
- Lu, C.-J., Lee, T.-S., & Chen, W.-J. (2021). Improving sports outcome prediction process using adaptive weighted features and machine learning techniques. *Processes*, 9(9), 1563. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9091563>

- Magnusen, M. J., Kim, Y., Perrewé, P. L., & Ferris, G. R. (2014). A critical review and synthesis of student-athlete college choice factors: Recruiting effectiveness in NCAA sports. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 9(6), 1265–1286. <https://doi.org/10.1260/1747-9541.9.6.1265>
- National Collegiate Athletic Association. (2019, October 8). What the NCAA Transfer Portal is... and what it isn't. <https://www.ncaa.org/news/2019/8/20/what-to-know-about-division-i-transfers.aspx>
- National Collegiate Athletic Association. (2021, June 30). NCAA adopts interim name, image and likeness policy. <https://www.ncaa.org/news/2021/6/30/ncaa-adopts-interim-name-image-and-likeness-policy.aspx>
- Petersen, J., & Judge, L. W. (2021). Reframing the collegiate facilities arms race: The looming impact of NIL and conference realignment. *Journal of Applied Sport Management*, 13(2), 36–44. <https://doi.org/10.7290/jasm13wlu7>
- Popp, N., Pierce, D., & Hums, M. A. (2011). A comparison of the college selection process for international and domestic student-athletes at NCAA Division I universities. *Sport Management Review*, 14(2), 176–187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smr.2010.08.003>
- Rangasamy, K., As'ari, M. A., Rahmad, N. A., Ghazali, N. F., & Ismail, S. (2020). Deep learning in sport video analysis: A review. *TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication, Computing, Electronics and Control)*, 18(4), 1926–1933. <https://doi.org/10.12928/TELKOMNIKA.v18i4.14730>
- Reese, R. (2023). The unintended consequences of the NCAA college athletics transfer portal. *Journal of Higher Education Athletics & Innovation*, 2(1), 97–111. <https://doi.org/10.15763/issn.2376-5267.2023.2.1.97-111>